



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,640	04/02/2004	Paul Lapstun	HYC006US 9567  EXAMINER	
24011	7590 10/12/2005			
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET			NGUYEN, MADELEINE ANH VINH	
393 DAKLI BALMAIN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRAL			2626	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/200;	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,640	LAPSTUN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Madeleine AV Nguyen	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timustill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 May 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/575,139.					
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	•					
Attachment/c)						
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/7,18/04.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/7,18/04</u> .	о) [_] Oiner:					

Art Unit: 2626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 9-18, 20, 28-30, 31-37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Earl et al (US Patent No. 5,231,663).

Concerning claims 1, 20, Earl et al discloses a system (Fig. 1) for enabling a user to enter a competition and limiting subsequent communication between an application and the user via a sensing device (14) interacting with a machine-readable corded data printed on a surface (22), the system and method comprising a computer system configured and programmed the steps of receiving interaction data representing the interaction of the sensing device with the coded data (36, Fig.3), the interaction data enabling identification of the application (38-42, Fig.3); transmitting information based on at least some of the interaction data to the application and enabling transmission of a number of electronic messages from the application to the user (44, Fig.3), (col. 2, line 46 – col. 4, line 16; col. 4, line 51 – col. 5, line 24).

Earl et al does not directly teach the enabling transmission of up to a predetermined number of electronic messages from the application to the user. However, Earl et al teaches a Build and Transmit Score Report subsystem 84, whose activities are placed in activity database 62, takes the score information from scores database 82 and builds score reports which are

Art Unit: 2626

provided to facsimile 14 through interface 50 (col. 5, lines 3-9). Earl further teaches that the Build and Transmit Score Report subsystem 84 is depend on the parameters set forth during header processing (col. 6, lines 60-62). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to consider the number of transmitted electronic messages in Earl et al is predetermined since the Build and Transmit Score Report subsystem 84 takes score information from scores database 82 to builds score reports which is a predetermined number of messages.

Concerning claims 9-11, 14, Earl et al further teaches that a message is sent by the application to the user in response to an electronic status request or the message is indicative of a status of the competition, (col. 5, lines 4-9; col. 6, lines 60-68), (claims 9-10); assigning an alias ID to the user; and transmitting the alias ID to the application with the information based on the interaction data (col. 5, lines 53-68), (claim 11); the electronic message is indicative of any one or more of a confirmation of receipt of the interaction data and a response based on the interaction data received in the computer system (Fig. 3; col. 3, line 47 – col. 4, line 7; col. 5, lines 4-9), (claim 14);

Concerning claims 12-13, 15-18, 31-35, Earl et al does not specifically teach that the printed surface is a product label and the application is under the control of a manufacturer producer or other commercial entity associated with the product label (claim 12-13) and further information regarding of the label (claims 15-18). However, Earl et al teaches, "This present invention is capable of extracting image objects from an incoming image. These objects are in tow forms: (1) pixel-mapped images in mono or poly-planar format(s), e.g., graphics, or (2) textual image comprised of symbols of alphabets." (col. 8, lines 20-27). That can include a

Art Unit: 2626

product of label since it can be in pixel-mapped images, graphics, characters, or symbols. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to consider that the printed surface taught in Earl can be a product label as a matter of well known in the art (col. 1, lines 30-39), and since Earl further teaches that "The purpose of this process is to isolate image components and then compare them with known elements for identification and/or classification." (col. 8, lines 25-28) and "potential applications are industrial process, home computer process direction, batch request, etc." (col. 6, lines 6-7). That can be interpreted that the label can be associated with a manufacturer, producer or other commercial entity, and the label includes human-readable information, and the label is an identifier or a product code.

Concerning claims 28-30, 36-37, Earl further teaches the surface includes human readable information which is sensed during the interaction, the coded data relates to an identity of the surface, and the interaction data is indicative of the identity, (col. 2, line 46 – col. 3, line 51; col. 4, lines 43-50), (claims 28-29), the form includes one or more of: information fields that show information about the surface, button fields that generate one or more actions in the computer system when interacted with by the sensing device; and entry fields for receiving user input through interaction of the sensing device (claim 30); a page server to convert the interaction data to form data and to transmit the form data to the application; a net page registration server to identify the user and to allocate an alias ID, (col. 4, line 17 – col. 5, line 68), (claims 36-37).

Concerning claim 39, Earl et al discloses a surface including coded data and human-readable information (22, Fig.1) configured for use in the method of claim 1 or the system of claim 20 above.

Art Unit: 2626

3. Claims 2-8, 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Earl et al as applied to claims 1, 20 above, and further in view of Ernst (US Patent No. 5,572,674).

Concerning claims 2-8, 21-27, Earl further teaches that the predetermined number of electronic message is set by the user through interaction of the sensing device with the printed competition entry form (when the sensing device reads the header in the form).

Earl et al fails to teach a predetermined maximum value of electronic messages read from the sensing device and a contact counter for incrementing a contact count for each electronic message sent from the application to the user, and preventing transmission of further electronic messages once the contact count reaches a predetermined maximum value. Ernst discloses a method which is implemented via a set of network control programs for controlling the communications in a communication controller wherein a number of network control program (NCP) parameters are tuned to optimize network performance. For example, the MAXOUT parameters relates to the fact that a message counter is assigned to every message that goes out. The MAXOUT parameter, set at system generation time for the controller's NCP load module, establishes a maximum count of messages allowed to go out to a terminal attached to the controller before an acknowledgement comes back. Referring to Fig.1, assume for example that ten messages are queued up to be sent by a controller P2 and the MAXOUT parameter for the controller is seven. After seven messages are sent out, any message sent out after that is assumed to have been lost. This gives the NCP positive confirmation of receipt, explicitly or implicitly (col. 39-67). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the MAXOUT parameter set in the network control program in Ernst to the control program in Earl since Earl also teaches different programs in the computer

Art Unit: 2626

system 12 for controlling the accurate positive confirmation receipt for accounting purpose and also for billing purpose.

4. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Earl as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Berson (US Patent No. 5,514,860).

Concerning claim 19, Earl et al fails to teach that the label, which includes machinereadable coded data, is substantially invisible to human. However, it was commonly known in
the art that a label can have machine-readable coded data which is invisible to a human. Berson
support that well known in the prior art by teaching a document authentication system (Figs. 3-4)
utilizing a transparent label for encoding data derived from scanning the document and printing
encoded data on the transparent label with invisible ink (Figs. 1-2; col. 4, lines 17-50). It would
have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the
above teaching of Berson for the scanner in 14 in Earl et al to scan to a label including invisible
human coded data since the scanner 14 is also a machine-readable coded data while Earl does not
limit that the scanner 14 cannot read invisible to human coded data.

5. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Earl et al as applied to claim20 above, and further in view of Dougherty et al (US Patent No. 6,076,734).

Concerning claim 38, Earl fails to teach that the system comprises an internet-accessible location for posting the electronic messages wherein the electronic messages are accessible to the user via the location. Dougherty et al discloses method and system for providing human/computer interfaces with a computer system by engaging a sensor with desired regions of

Art Unit: 2626

an encoded physical medium (Fig.1) wherein when the user engages the sensor with a region having certain encoded information, the certain encoded information is interpreted and an appropriated action taken (Abstract). Dougherty further teaches a data linked book (350) for linking a physical book with data available via an information network such as the Internet. The linked data is then presented on an Internet device such as a personal computer (col. 11, lines 18-25) and that the computer system uses other received information to download the desired data from the Internet, presenting such data to the user in the proper form (col. 11, lines 48-65). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Dougherty et al to the system in Earl for posting electronic messages to the user via an internet-accessible location since Earl also teaches that the computer system 12 can be connected to a network which can be the Internet (Fig.1).

## Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. Zdybel, Jr. et al (US Patent No. 5,486,686) discloses a data storage and communications for electronic document processing systems.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Madeleine AV Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272-7466. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,640

Art Unit: 2626

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A. Williams can be reached on 571 272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

0-4-1--- 1 2005

Madeleine AV Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626